

SUMMARY OF PRIORITY RANKINGS OF RECOMMENDATIONS
PUBLIC FORUM #2
April 28, 2005

The forum began with a summary of existing conditions based on the previous report by Sharon Murray. Michael Munson then presented the consultants' recommendations. The meeting was then opened for discussion and comment. At the end, participants were given four yellow stick-on dots and asked to place a dot on the recommendation within each of the four initiatives that they felt should be given the highest priority. One dot was to be used for each initiative. The results are summarized below:

Planning Initiatives

(dots) rank recommendation

- | | | |
|-----|----|--|
| (4) | 1. | Define desired densities of development relative to planned wastewater treatment capacity. |
| (2) | 2 | Incorporate locally defined housing targets, including % of low/moderate income units. |
| (1) | 3 | Evaluate capacity of designated growth areas to accommodate proposed housing targets. |
| (1) | 3 | Incorporate proposed strategies in an Implementation Plan |

Infrastructure Initiatives

(dots) rank recommendation

- | | | |
|-----|---|--|
| (3) | 1 | Continue to evaluate options for centralized wastewater treatment |
| (3) | 1 | Reassess all other infrastructure facility needs to include in the Capital Improvement Program |
| (2) | 3 | Update the Town's Wastewater Management Plan to address target rates of housing development |
| (0) | 4 | Adopt a wastewater capacity allocation ordinance with allocations for residential development and affordable housing |

Regulatory Initiatives

(dots) rank recommendation

- | | | |
|-----|---|--|
| (6) | 1 | Incorporate additional incentives for affordable and senior housing development |
| (2) | 2 | Re-evaluate minimum lot size and density requirements for single and multi-family units in districts targeted for growth |

- | | | |
|-----|---|---|
| (1) | 3 | Consider adopting “Inclusionary Zoning” that requires a %of low/moderate income units |
| (1) | 3 | Re-evaluate Public works standards and Fire Codes as they apply to affordable development (e.g. consider waivers) |
| (1) | 3 | Identify ways to streamline the local development review process |
| (0) | 6 | Consider reducing the esc row requirements for affordable housing development |

Outreach/Partnership Initiatives

(dots) rank recommendation

- | | | |
|-----|----|---|
| (5) | 1. | Continue to participate in regional housing and wastewater management efforts |
| (2) | 2 | Appoint a local housing task force |
| (2) | 2 | Form public/private partnerships to provide: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Grant and funding support • Educational/informational forums • Assistance in identifying suitable properties • Act 250 support for projects with local approval |